



Hydrodynamic simulations of metal ablation by femtosecond laser irradiation

Jean-Philippe Colombier, Patrick Combis, Florian Bonneau, Ronan Le Harzic,
Eric Audouard

► To cite this version:

Jean-Philippe Colombier, Patrick Combis, Florian Bonneau, Ronan Le Harzic, Eric Audouard. Hydrodynamic simulations of metal ablation by femtosecond laser irradiation. *Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* (1998-2015), 2005, 71, pp.165406. hal-00121833

HAL Id: hal-00121833

<https://hal.science/hal-00121833>

Submitted on 22 Dec 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hydrodynamic simulations of metal ablation by femtosecond laser irradiation

J.P. Colombier^{1,2}, P. Combis¹, F. Bonneau¹, R. Le Harzic², and E. Audouard²

¹CEA/DAM Ile de France, Dept. de Physique Théorique et Appliquée, B.P. 12, 91680 Bruyères-le-Châtel, France

²Laboratoire Traitement du Signal et Instrumentation (TSI),
Université Jean Monnet, UMR CNRS 5516, 42000 Saint-Etienne, France

(Dated: December 22, 2006)

Ablation of Cu and Al targets has been performed with 170 fs laser pulses in the intensity range of 10^{12} - 10^{14} W/cm². We compare the measured removal depth with 1D hydrodynamic simulations. The electron-ion temperature decoupling is taken into account using the standard two-temperature model. The influence of the early heat transfer by electronic thermal conduction on hydrodynamic material expansion and mechanical behavior is investigated. A good agreement between experimental and numerical matter ablation rates shows the importance of including solid-to-vapor evolution of the metal in the current modeling of the laser matter interaction.

PACS numbers: 61.80.Az, 72.15.Cz, 79.20.Ap

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultrafast phenomena driven by subpicosecond laser pulses have been the subject of thorough investigation for many years in order to explain the ablation of solid materials^{1,2,3,4}. As opposed to the laser-dielectric interaction where thermal and athermal ablation regimes probably takes place^{5,6,7}, the laser-metal interaction is mainly governed by the thermal ablation one^{6,8}. The laser energy is absorbed by the free electrons first. The pulse duration being shorter than the electron-phonon relaxation time ($\tau_{e-ph} \sim 10^{-12}$ s), electrons and ions subsystems must be considered separately. The "two-temperature model" (TTM) describes the thermal transport of energy by the electrons and the energy transfer from the electrons to the lattice⁹.

Numerous theoretical and experimental previous works have been devoted to the study of the matter ablation with a single laser pulse. Experimental irradiation conditions in current applications are largely investigated to optimize the ablation rate: pulse duration¹⁰, fluence¹¹ and background gas^{12,13}. However, a complete view including all the relevant physical mechanisms is still lacking. To get a better understanding of the ablation process and to extend the results into situations not covered by the experiments, two kinds of investigations are put at work : (i) a complete identification of the various physical mechanisms responsible for the material removal from the surface, (ii) an evaluation of the impact of these various processes on the amount of ablated matter. In the works previously addressed, few calculations are able to provide a direct comparison with experiments. Most of them are focused on thermal transport and a more detailed description of the physical processes occurring in the material has to be incorporated to really describe the whole ablation process. Among these, works based on hydrodynamic modeling^{1,2,14,15} have been recently associated to the TTM to describe ablation process. To overcome the drawbacks of a material fluid treatment, a mechanical extension of the TTM has been proposed to model the ultrafast thermomechanical behavior of a

metal film¹⁶. Works based on molecular dynamics allow to access to the influence of the ultrafast energy deposition on the thermal and mechanical evolution properties of the material^{17,18}. With a different approach, other authors have performed a microscopic analysis of the mechanisms of ultrashort pulse laser melting by means of a hybrid molecular-dynamic and fluid modelisation^{3,4}.

From all these investigations, it appears that none of these effects may be neglected to reproduce the features of the damage resulting from an ultrashort laser irradiation. Moreover, there is a lack of investigations which combine experimental and theoretical results so that current models are still questionable. In the present simulations, the TTM provides energy deposition in an expanding material intimately correlated with the processes governing the ablation in the ultrashort pulse case, which is a specificity of our hydrodynamic approach. Simulation results give useful insight into the presented experiment data.

Transport properties of electrons are not very well understood in nonequilibrium electron-ion systems. However, the comprehension of these phenomena in the context of ultrafast interaction is essential not only for fundamental purposes but also for micromachining applications. A precise description of the effect of the electronic temperature on the absorption seems to be still unsettled^{19,20,21}, and it has not been taken into account in the presented calculations. Nevertheless, the model employed in this work uses a large set of current available data.

Obviously, numerical calculations are always requiring additional information such as electron-phonon or electron-electron relaxation times, which may be extracted, from experimental data^{22,23}. Reciprocally, comparison between simulations and experiments allows to validate physical data introduced into the theoretical models. For instance, we shall see in the following that the measure of the pressure variation with time inside the material would be very informative. These data, however, are difficult to measure with a high accuracy. By contrast, experimental measure of laser ablation rate

seems to be easier to be obtained and compared with numerical simulations.

In this article, numerical and experimental results on ablated matter are reported. For this purpose, the TTM is inserted into a hydrodynamic code in order to describe the material removal. First we detail the physical processes which are taken into account in our computations within the framework of hydrodynamical modeling. Then, the effects caused by relaxation processes on the evolution of shock waves are examined. We next present the experiments which have been performed to obtain ablation depth measurements as a function of the laser fluence. Finally, our discussions are based on results of numerical simulations on Cu and Al samples compared with specific experimental measurements.

II. THEORETICAL MODEL

To represent numerically the interaction between the laser and the metallic target, we used a 1D Lagrangian hydrodynamic code²⁴. Solving the Helmholtz wave equation permitted us to determine the electromagnetic field through the region illuminated by the laser. The deposited energy is then deduced using the Joule-Lenz law. A precise result for the absorption from an arbitrary medium can be obtained from the direct solution of the equation for the electromagnetic field. Let us consider a planar wave propagating along z axis. We write the following Helmholtz equation for the complex amplitude of the electric field E_z with frequency ω :

$$\Delta E_z - \nabla \nabla E_z + \left(1 + i \frac{4\pi}{\omega} \sigma(T, \rho)\right) \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} E_z = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma(T, \rho)$ is the complex conductivity and c is the light speed. The function σ is calculated as a function of the density $\rho(z)$ and the temperature $T(z)$. The relative permittivity of the medium is supposed to be equal to the unity in the case of a metal target. These simulations need accurate data such as transport coefficients in solids, liquids, vapors and dense or diluted plasmas, specially refractive indices²⁵, electric and thermal conductivities²⁶, and mechanical properties such as material strength. The evolution of the irradiated target is governed by the fluid hydrodynamic and heat diffusion equations connected with a multi-phase equation of state (EOS). Thermodynamic functions that realistically describe characteristics of metal properties in various parts of phase diagram are needed. A such set of different metal EOS is generated by means of a numerical tool developed by the authors of the reference²⁷. As an illustration of the EOS used, Fig. 1 displays isobars in the phase diagram temperature-specific energy of the copper for a wide range of pressure. Such data reveal the dependence on the thermodynamic properties of the melting and vaporisation processes.

The mechanical propagation of shock waves and fracture are also simulated. Elastoplasticity is described

by a strain rate independent model (relevant to high strain rate conditions at high pressure, typically beyond 10 GPa) which accounts for pressure, temperature and strain dependent yield strength and shear modulus²⁸. Laser induced stresses are the combination of the hydrostatic pressure and the response to the shearing deformation. In the temperature range of interest here, the effect of radiative energy transport on the hydrodynamic motion is negligible. Hence we ignore energy transport by radiation when solving the hydrodynamic equations.




FIG. 1: Representation of isobars in a phase diagram of the copper EOS in the region of phase transitions.

Ultrashort laser irradiation and the associated ionic and electronic temperature decoupling require to introduce specific electronic parameters. We assume that free electrons form a thermal distribution during the interaction and use the Fermi-Dirac distribution to determine the electron properties (energy, pressure and heat capacity) as a function of the density and the temperature²⁹. The evanescent electromagnetic wave is absorbed by the electrons. In our range of intensity, they are quickly heated at a temperature of few eV. During and after the pulse, the energy diffuses among the electrons and is then transferred to the lattice. Classical heat diffusion plays a significant role as soon as a thermal gradient occurs in the material. Diffusion processes are described by the following equations :

$$\rho C_e \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial t} = \nabla (K_e \nabla T_e) - \gamma(T_e - T_i) + S \quad (2)$$

$$\rho C_i \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} = \nabla (K_i \nabla T_i) + \gamma(T_e - T_i) \quad (3)$$

where T , C and K are the temperature, the specific heat and the thermal conductivity respectively. Indices "e" and "i" stand for electron and ion species. ρ is the mass density. γ characterizes the rate of energy exchange between the two subsystems and S is the space and time dependent laser source term determined by the Joule-Lenz law. Introduction of the TTM in a hydro-code allows us to take into account the density dependence of

both specific heats and conductivities. $C_e(T_e, \rho)$ is calculated with the Fermi model using ρ dependent chemical potentials²⁹. The electron thermal conductivity K_e is commonly expressed in the form³⁰:

$$K_e = \alpha \frac{(\theta_e^2 + 0.16)^{5/4} (\theta_e^2 + 0.44)}{(\theta_e^2 + 0.092)^{1/2} (\theta_e^2 + \beta \theta_i)} \theta_e \quad (4)$$

where θ_e and θ_i are electron and ion temperature normalized to the Fermi temperature ($\theta_e = T_e/T_F$, $\theta_i = T_i/T_F$) and α, β are material dependent parameters^{3,31}. The linear variation of coupling term with $(T_e - T_i)$ is classic in TTM : we have taken $\gamma = \gamma_0$ as $3 \times 10^{16} \text{ WK}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-3}$ for copper²², and $3 \times 10^{17} \text{ WK}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-3}$ for aluminum²⁰. It must be noticed that the values of K_e and γ are subject to considerable uncertainty in literature³. To accurately describe the ultrafast response, we incorporate electronic pressure into the set of hydrodynamic equations. The system of equations for electron and ion subsystems can be written in the Lagrangian form :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_e}{\partial t} = -p_e \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, & \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial t} = -p_i \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial m}(p_e + p_i), & \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial m} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where u is the fluid velocity, m the mass and V the specific volume. $p_e, \varepsilon_e, p_i, \varepsilon_i$ are the pressure and the specific energy of electrons and ions. Equations (2) to (5)




FIG. 2: Contour plots of the stress resulting from the irradiation of a copper target by a 170 fs, 10 J/cm² laser pulse.

connected with a multiphase equation of state (EOS)²⁷ constitute a self-consistent description of the matter evolution. However, the pressure $p(T_e = T_i)$ provided by this EOS is the sum of the electronic and ionic pressure at the equilibrium and has to be replaced by the sum of these two contributions out of equilibrium. The electronic pressure is independently determined by means of the standard fermion gas model. As a consequence, the total pressure used in the above calculations is determined as $p(T_e \neq T_i) = p_e(T_e) - p_e(T_i) + p_i(T_i)$.

III. SIMULATIONS AND ANALYSIS

To start with, the interaction of a 10 J/cm², 170 fs FWHM gaussian pulse at 800 nm wavelength with a copper target is investigated. Fig. 2 shows the space-time evolution of the induced stress. The metal surface is heated to a maximum of 2950 K, 30 ps after the irradiation. At this time, the free surface expands in a liquid state with a velocity of 400 ms⁻¹. Due to the electron heating, an electronic compression wave appears at the end of the laser pulse. The electron-ion energy exchange results in a significant increase in the ionic pressure, which propagates inside the metal. Behind the shock, tensile stress occurs associated with very high strain rate around 10⁹ s⁻¹.

In order to study the sensitivity of the above results with respect to the physical parameters, we compare in Fig. 3 the time variation of the computed stress at 1 μm depth under standard conditions ($\gamma = \gamma_0$ and $K_e = K_{e_0}$) with those obtained with an increased coupling factor or electronic conductivity.




FIG. 3: Time evolution of the stress in copper at 1 μm depth resulting from a 170 fs, 10 J/cm² laser pulse. Standard conditions : $\gamma = \gamma_0$ and $K_e = K_{e_0}$ (solid line), $\gamma = 5\gamma_0$ (dashed line), $K_e = 10K_{e_0}$ (dotted line).

In the first hundred picoseconds, the stress growth is directly related to the heating depth. The increased coupling factor leads to a steeper thermal gradient and a lower temperature at 1 μm depth compared to the other situations. The resulting stress is therefore lower in this case. The peak of the shock wave, propagating from the surface, reaches the 1 μm depth at 200 ps. Increasing the coupling factor accelerates the energy transfer from the electrons to the lattice and results in higher compression. Inversely, an enhanced electronic conductivity spreads the energy spatial profile and yields reduced stresses. It must be noticed that in the three cases, the compression is followed by a high tensile stress greater than the characteristic tensile strength of the material. Nevertheless, the loading in tension is not long enough

(150 ps) to induce a fracture in the medium^{32,33}. The shock duration and intensity provide a good signature of the balance between the electronic diffusion and the electron-ion coupling. Further improvements will be discussed in the following.

To obtain local information on the energy transfer induced by a femtosecond laser irradiation, a high-resolution time measurement of the stress reaching the rear side of a thin sample could be achieved^{34,35}. Such experimental records could be directly compared with our simulations which would lead us to refine the (γ, K_e) physical values accordingly. To validate the computation, we performed ablation measurements and compared them to the current simulations using standard values of (γ, K_e) for aluminum and copper samples.

IV. EXPERIMENT DETAILS

Ultrashort laser pulses are generated by an amplified all solid-state Ti:Sapphire laser chain. Low energy pulses are extracted from a mode-locked oscillator (1.6 nJ/pulse, 80 MHz, 800 nm, 120 fs). The pulses are then injected into an amplifying chain including : an optical pulse stretcher, a regenerative amplifier associated with a two-pass amplifier using a 20 W Nd:YLF laser as pumping source, and a pulse compressor. Linearly polarized pulses with wavelength centered around 800 nm, an energy of 1.5 mJ at 1 kHz repetition rate and typical duration of 170 fs were delivered. The samples are mounted on a three-motorized-axes system with 0.5 μm accuracy. Experiments are performed in the image plane of an aperture placed before the objective. The resulting spatial laser profile on sample is "top hat" so that borders and spurious conical drilling effects are reduced. Focusing objectives of 25 mm or 10 mm focal lengths to obtain fluences in a range of 0.5 to 35 J/cm² with the same beam size, 16 μm in diameter, in the image plane.

For ablation depth measurements, grooves are machined by moving the sample³⁶. The sample speed is adapted such that each point on the groove axis undergoes 8 consecutive irradiations at each target pass. The number of times the sample passes in front of the fixed beam can be adjusted. Fig. 4 shows a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) picture of the machined grooves on copper for 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 passes. The groove depth




FIG. 4: SEM picture of machined-groove profiles, from 2 to 10 passes, on a copper sample.

is measured using an optical profilometer with a 10 nm depth resolution. The ablation rates for each groove are deduced taking into account the sample speed, the repetition rate of the laser, the beam size and the number of passes. For each energy density, an averaged ablation rate is determined and the number of passes has been chosen to obtain reproducible results. From these experiments on copper and aluminum targets, we evaluate, for different fluences, an ablation depth averaged over a few tens of laser shots. The theoretical ablation depth is deduced from 1D numerical simulations using a criterion discussed hereafter.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

At moderate fluence, the propagation of the shock wave induced by the energy deposition on the lattice causes the surface expansion at very high speed and significant non-uniform strain rates. Simultaneously, the surface of the target is melted or vaporized as soon as the conditions of temperature and density required are fulfilled. High strain rates can turn the liquid region into an ensemble of droplets and ablation follows. This process is called the homogeneous nucleation³⁷. Unfortunately, quantitative values on the formation and ejection of liquid droplets are difficult to access because the interfacial solid-liquid microscopic properties of the nucleation centers are not accurately known. Nevertheless, in our simulation we can consider that the liquid layer accelerated outside the target corresponds to the ablated matter. Large values of strain rates (10^9 s^{-1}) indeed signal that droplet formation may occur and are sufficient to produce ablation. At higher fluence, the surface is strongly vaporized. The gas expands near the free surface and compresses the internal matter. The vaporized part of the target added to the fraction of the above-defined liquid layer constitute the calculated ablated matter. Experimental results on ablation of copper and aluminum are compared with the numerical estimates in Fig. 5 and 6.

Sharp numerical ablation thresholds are visible at 3 and 0.5 J/cm² for Cu and Al targets respectively. At high fluence, the ablation saturates for both materials. This saturation occurs mainly for two reasons. Vaporization and subsequent gas expansion consume energy that does not contribute to the ablation process. Moreover, the liquid layer confinement increases as far as the gas expands and limits the liquid removal.

As defined by Feit *et al*⁷, a specific removal depth, i.e., depth removed per unit incident fluence could be a relevant parameter to estimate the ablation efficiency at a fixed pulse duration. Calculations of this quantity is carried out as a function of the laser fluence. Dashed curve presented in Fig. 6 indicate a maximum value of 0.5 J/cm² in the aluminum case. The curve is smoother for copper in Fig. 5 and the maximum specific removal depth is reached at a fluence around 5 J/cm². This point corresponds to the occurrence of a critical behavior which




FIG. 5: Experimental and numerical (solid line) ablation depth as a function of the laser fluence on a copper target obtained with a 170 fs laser pulse. The dashed line shows evolution of the specific removal rate.




FIG. 6: Experimental and numerical (solid line) ablation depth as a function of the laser fluence on an aluminum target obtained with a 170 fs laser pulse. The dashed line shows evolution of the specific removal rate.

confirms a change in the hydrodynamic behavior. While the thickness of the liquid layer grows with the incident energy, the specific removal depth rises. Afterwards, when the gas expansion starts, a growing part of the laser energy is transformed in kinetic energy and the specific removal depth drops. This suggests that an optimum material removal exists and refers to the situation when the surface vaporisation is limited. It appears that this quantity is relevant for material processing which can be optimized by operating at this optimal fluence.

At low fluence, a noticeable discrepancy arises between the experimental and numerical results. The calculated ablated matter for a copper target is below the experimental results, while for an aluminum target, numerical results are above. We suspect that electron transport properties should be further improved. It has been shown that a significant decrease in the electrical conduc-

tivity may take place as a result of the electronic temperature increase¹⁹, which our model discards. However, the experimental measurements and the theoretical calculations come to a reasonable agreement at higher fluence. As it has been shown by Fisher *et al*²⁰, in the vicinity of a 800 nm wavelength, the interband absorption occurring in an aluminum target decreases with increasing temperature. The authors show that, with 50 fs laser pulses, the absorption coefficient presents a minimum near ablation threshold, at 5×10^{13} W/cm² laser intensity. The evolution of interband absorption with the temperature is not taken into account in our calculations. Consequently, we may overestimate the energy absorbed in this intensity region.

For copper, the simulation overestimates the ablation threshold. This can be due to a deficit of physical process comprehension or to the inaccuracy of the parameters introduced in the model. No single value of γ or K_e can perfectly fit the sets of data shown in Fig. 5 and 6. As for the discussion of pressure presented above, one can think that a change in γ or K_e has a similar effect on the threshold fluence F_{Th} . Therefore, it is interesting to investigate the fluence threshold dependence on γ and K_e . A parametric analysis has been performed for a copper target. Fig. 7 displays the threshold fluence which has been obtained for different parameter couples (γ , $K_e = K_{e0}$) and ($\gamma = \gamma_0$, K_e). The deposited energy with lower thermal conductivity or higher coupling factor can penetrate deeper into the material. As a consequence, F_{Th} is lowered with respect to that of the reference case (γ_0 , K_{e0}) and would be comparable with experimental data in the vicinity of the threshold. However, simulations performed in these conditions have shown a higher disagreement with experimental data at higher fluence due to an earlier expanded vapor. On the contrary, for a reduced γ or an enhanced K_e value, F_{Th} increased and the ablation depth at high fluence is overestimated.

Results obtained with one-temperature simulations evidence the importance of the TTM to reproduce the experimental results. The good agreement obtained between experimental data and simulations underlines the need of coupling the TTM model with a hydrodynamic code for ablation simulations in metals. Numerical results presented in this paper give an overall description of processes occurring during ultrashort laser ablation experiments.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have reported new results on the interaction of femtosecond laser pulses with metal targets at intensities up to 10^{14} W/cm². Numerical computations were carried out by means of a 1D hydrodynamic code describing the laser field absorption and the subsequent phase transitions of matter. Simulations were compared to original ablation experiments performed on aluminum and copper samples. The behavior of the ab-




FIG. 7: Calculation of the threshold fluence dependence on the coupling parameter γ and the electronic conductivity K_e for copper. Simulations are performed for different ratio of the standard value of one parameter remaining the second one constant. Consequently, the intersection of curves coincide with the value used in the calculation of ablation rate presented in Fig. 5.

lation depth as a function of laser fluence confirms the importance of the use of specific two-temperature equa-

tion of state and hydrodynamics. An optimum condition for laser fluence has been identified and could provide a precious information for efficient material processing. We have highlighted that ablation process is not only governed by electronic diffusion but also by the high shock formation and propagation. The differences between experimental and numerical results remain, however, more pronounced for low laser fluences.

We took a great care to extend the metal properties to the nonequilibrium case. Nevertheless, inclusion of realistic material parameters, such as sophisticated band structure of metals or various scattering mechanisms, would allow calculations with more accuracy. Also, a full nonequilibrium treatment should take into account the conductivity dependence with both electron-electron and electron-phonon collisions. This work is in progress and implies an elaborate optical absorption model more suitable for ultrashort laser pulse.

Simulations suggest that the in-depth stresses induced by an ultrashort laser pulse provide information of the matter dynamics in time, with which experimental pressure measurements could be compared. In particular, because it develops over temporal scales larger than the energy deposition one, the characteristic shape of the delayed shock conveys information about the interaction physics and it should thus supply a promising way for exploring matter distortions upon picosecond time scales.

-
- ¹ A.M. Komashko, M.D. Feit, A.M. Rubenchik, M.D. Perry, and P.S. Banks, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. A69, Suppl. S95 (1999).
 - ² S. Laville, F. Vidal, T.W. Johnston, O. Barthélemy, M. Chaker, B. Le Drogoff, J. Margot, and M. Sabsabi, Phys. Rev. E 66, 066415 (2002).
 - ³ C. Schäfer, H.M. Urbassek, and L.V. Zhigilei, Phys. Rev. B 66, 115404 (2002).
 - ⁴ D.S. Ivanov and L.V. Zhigilei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 105701, (2003).
 - ⁵ R. Stoian, A. Rosenfeld, D. Ashkenasi, I.V. Hertel, N.M. Bulgakova, and E.E.B. Campbell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 097603 (2002).
 - ⁶ N.M. Bulgakova, R. Stoian, A. Rosenfeld, I.V. Hertel, and E.E.B. Campbell, Phys. Rev. B 69, 054102 (2004).
 - ⁷ M.D. Feit, A.M. Komashko, A.M. Rubenchik, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. 79, 1657 (2004).
 - ⁸ E.G. Gamaly, A.V. Rode, B. Luther-Davies, V.T. Tikhonchuk, Phys. Plasmas 9, 949 (2002).
 - ⁹ S.I. Anisimov, B.L. Kapeliovich, and T.L. Perel'man, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 66, 776 (1974) [Sov. Phys. JETP 39, 375 (1974)].
 - ¹⁰ B. Sallé, O. Gobert, P. Meynadier, M. Perdrix, G. Petite, and A. Semerok, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. A69, Suppl. S381 (1999).
 - ¹¹ S. Nolte, C. Momma, H. Jacobs, A. Tnnermann, B.N. Chichkov, B. Wellegehausen, and H. Welling, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 14, 2716 (1997).
 - ¹² A.E. Wynne, B.C. Stuart, Appl. Phys. A 76, 373 (2003).
 - ¹³ Preuss, A. Demchuk, and M. Stuke, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. A61, 33 (1995).
 - ¹⁴ K. Eidmann, J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, T. Schlegel, and S. Hller, Phys. Rev. E 62, 1202 (2000).
 - ¹⁵ Y.V. Afanasiev, B.N. Chichkov, N.N. Demchenko., V.A. Isakov, and I.N. Zavestovskaya, 28th Conference on Contr. Fusion and Plasma Phys. Funchal, ECA 25A, 2021 (2001).
 - ¹⁶ J.K. Chen, J.E. Beraun, L.E. Grimes, and D.Y. Tzou, Int. J. Solids Struct. 39, 3199 (2002).
 - ¹⁷ D. Perez and L. J. Lewis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 255504 (2002).
 - ¹⁸ P. Lorazo, L.J. Lewis, and M. Meunier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 225502 (2003).
 - ¹⁹ H.M. Milchberg, R.R. Freeman, S.C. Davey, and R.M. More, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2364 (1988).
 - ²⁰ D. Fisher, M. Fraenkel, Z. Henis, E. Moshe, and S. Eliezer, Phys. Rev. E 65, 016409 (2001).
 - ²¹ B. Rethfeld, A. Kaiser, M. Vicanek and G. Simon, Phys. Rev. B, 65, 214303 (2002).
 - ²² P.B. Corkum, F. Brunel, N.K. Sherman, and T. Srinivasan-Rao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2886 (1988).
 - ²³ V. E. Gusev and O. B. Wright, Phys. Rev. B 57, 2878 (1998).
 - ²⁴ F. Bonneau, P. Combis, J.L. Rullier, J. Vierne, B. Bertussi, M. Commandré, L. Gallais, J.Y. Natoli, I. Bertron, F. Malaise, and J.T. Donohue, Appl. Phys. B, 78, 447-452, (2004).
 - ²⁵ E. Palik: Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids (Academic Press, London 1985).
 - ²⁶ W. Ebeling, A. Förster, V. Fortov, V. Griaznov, A. Polishchuk: *Thermophysical Properties of Hot Dense Plasmas*

- (Teubner, Stuttgart 1991).
- ²⁷ A.V. Bushman, I.V. Lomonosov, and V.E. Fortov, Sov. Tech. Rev. B 5, 1 (1993).
 - ²⁸ D.J. Steinberg, S.G. Cochran, and M.W. Guinan, J. Appl. Phys. 51, 1498 (1980).
 - ²⁹ N.W. Ashcroft and N.D. Mermin, Solid State Physics (Saunders College Publishing, 1976).
 - ³⁰ S.I. Anisimov and B. Rethfeld, Proc. SPIE 3093, 192 (1997).
 - ³¹ X.Y. Wang, D.M. Riffe, Y.-S. Lee, and M.C. Downer, Phys. Rev. B 50, 8016 (1994).
 - ³² F.R. Tuler, B.M. Butcher, Int. J. Fract. Mech. 4, 431 (1968).
 - ³³ V.I. Romanchenko and G.V. Stepanov, J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys. 21, 555 (1981).
 - ³⁴ L. Tollier and R. Fabbro, J. Appl. Phys. 83, 1224 (1997).
 - ³⁵ J.P. Romain, F. Bonneau, G. Dayma, M. Boustie, T. de Rességuier and P. Combis, J. Phys. : Condens. Matter 14, 10793 (2002).
 - ³⁶ R. Le Harzic, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Saint-Etienne, (2002).
 - ³⁷ B. Rethfeld, K. Sokolowski-Tinten, D. Von der Linde, and S.I. Anisimov, Phys. Rev. B 65, 092103 (2002).